

The Condition of College & Career Readiness 2014

Minnesota

ACT[®]

Minnesota

The Condition of College & Career Readiness 2014

The Condition of College & Career Readiness is ACT's annual report on the progress of the graduating class relative to college readiness. This year, 57% of the graduating class took the ACT® college readiness assessment. The increased number of test takers enhances the breadth and depth of the data pool, providing a comprehensive picture of the current graduating class in the context of readiness levels as well as offering a glimpse of the emerging educational pipeline.

Our Commitment to College and Career Readiness

As a research-based nonprofit, ACT is committed to providing a wider range of solutions across a wider range of life decision points in an increasingly individualized manner so everyone can benefit. This commitment has led ACT to a mode of continuous improvement in an ever-changing educational and workplace landscape. Over the last year, ACT has made several key announcements, including:

- **Release of ACT Aspire™.** In spring 2014, ACT released an assessment system that spans grades 3–10. It aligns to the ACT College Readiness Standards, which allows monitoring and intervening to take place much earlier and helps prepare students to succeed at college-level work, culminating with the ACT college readiness assessment. To date, more than 1 million assessments have been taken.
- **Enhancements to the ACT college readiness assessment.** Several key modifications were announced to the ACT. These include:
 - ~ Online, computer-based administration of the ACT, with more than 4,000 students tested in spring 2014
 - ~ Optional constructed-response computer-based testing tasks in mathematics, reading, and science—offered alongside the existing optional Writing Test—assessing whether students can justify, explain, and use evidence to support claims
 - ~ Additional questions on the Reading Test that address whether students can integrate knowledge and ideas across multiple texts
 - ~ Additional statistics and probability items on the Mathematics Test to allow for reporting of student achievement in this area
 - ~ Additional reporting to include a STEM score, career readiness indicator, English language arts score, text complexity indicator, and reporting categories consistent with college and career readiness language
 - ~ Enhanced Writing Test based on the newly developed ACT writing competency framework that provides results in four domains

While the evolution of the ACT continues and additional scores will be provided, it will remain a curriculum-based achievement exam, and the 1–36 score scale will not change.

- **A continued commitment to evidence and validity monitoring.** The ACT National Curriculum Survey®, completed every three to five years, is used to build and update a valid suite of ACT assessments, empirically aligned to the ACT College Readiness Standards. The survey informs the test blueprint for the assessments. Assessment results validate the ACT College Readiness Standards and the ACT College Readiness Benchmarks. This evidence and the validity cycle drive the development and continuous improvement of ACT's current and future solutions, as well as the associated research agenda.
- **Release of ACT Profile™.** ACT Profile is a first-of-its-kind college and career planning community, built on 30-plus years of ACT research. Mobile, social, and *free to students* (over the age of 13), ACT Profile develops personalized insights and populates an interactive career graph to show students the best career matches based on their self-assessment results. The tool then extends those insights to help students make informed career and educational plans.

ACT is committed to being a leader in education and career success by infusing innovation into our foundation of assessment excellence. We make changes only after a thorough analysis of user need, coupled with our commitment to the highest-quality test development and helping *all* students achieve college and career success.

A Holistic View of College Readiness

ACT continues in its steadfast support of the purpose and intent of the Common Core State Standards, which focus on the key essential standards that can prepare students for college and career success. However, we also believe that academic readiness is just one of several factors that contribute to educational success. Other key factors include the academic behaviors of students and informed career planning (e.g., based on interests). Together, these elements define a clear picture of student readiness for postsecondary education. To encourage progress, the educational system needs to monitor and sustain all key factors of success.

Using This Report¹

This report is designed to help educators understand and answer the following questions:

- Are your students prepared for college and career, and are your younger students on target?
- Are enough of your students taking core courses, and are those courses rigorous enough?
- What are the most popular majors/occupations, and what does the pipeline for each look like?
- What other dimensions of college and career readiness, like academic behaviors, should educators track?
- How are educators tracking progress on STEM initiatives?

Key Findings

Minnesota

About Your Graduating Class

In Minnesota, there were 45,305 students in the 2014 graduating class who took the ACT. This translates into an estimated 76% of the 2014 Minnesota graduating class being tested with the ACT. Minnesota saw an increase in ACT-tested graduates of 2% since 2010. Nationally, 1,845,787 students (an estimated 57% of the graduating class) were ACT tested, representing an increase in ACT-tested graduates of 18% since 2010.

Minnesota tested less than 90% of its graduates. As a result, this report represents a subset of the entire student population, meaning that the results reflect only those tested, rather than the entire graduating class. Hispanic ACT-tested graduates reflected a larger percentage of students than in the 2010 ACT-tested graduating class. On the other hand, Caucasian ACT-tested graduates reflected a smaller percentage of students than in the 2010 ACT-tested graduating class. Minnesota's ACT-tested graduating class had 9% potential first-generation students, or students whose parents did not enroll in postsecondary education. This compares to 18% of ACT-tested graduates nationwide.

Academic Achievement

Minnesota outperformed the nation in English, reading, math, and science. In interpreting academic achievement trend data, please note that in 2013, the ACT College Readiness Benchmark in science decreased from 24 to 23, and the ACT College Readiness Benchmark in reading increased from 21 to 22. During the routine practice of monitoring predictive validity, ACT analyzes the performance of students in college, looking at what is happening to students in the credit-bearing first-year college course in each specific content area. Data gathered through this routine review indicated a need to make updates to the ACT College Readiness Benchmarks.

Opportunity for Growth

Minnesota has a good opportunity to improve on the college and career readiness of its students, especially in reading and science, where at least 10% of the students were only 1 or 2 points below the Benchmark. ACT research has shown those students meeting three or four ACT College Readiness Benchmarks are likely to be successful in postsecondary education. For Minnesota, this means that the 55% who met three or four ACT College Readiness Benchmarks have a strong likelihood of experiencing success in college. A great way to improve students' college

and career readiness is to get more of them to take a college preparatory core curriculum. In fact, Minnesota saw 65% of core-taking students meeting the math ACT College Readiness Benchmark, compared to 39% of non-core-taking students meeting the Benchmark. In this graduating class, 12% of Minnesota's ACT-tested graduates reported they did not plan to take a core curriculum, which means that 5,430 more students could have benefited from more rigorous coursework, presenting a real opportunity for improvement in college and career readiness.

Student Aspirations

There is good news in that 91% of Minnesota's 2014 ACT-tested graduates aspired to postsecondary education. Interestingly, 92% of Minnesota's 2013 ACT-tested graduating class aspired to enroll in postsecondary education, compared to 79% who actually did enroll. If we fully closed the aspirational gap, an additional 5,744 of the 2013 ACT-tested graduates from Minnesota would have enrolled in postsecondary education.

What's Next?

There is work to be done to improve the college and career readiness of our nation's students. Teaching to a higher set of standards, getting more students taking a core curriculum, and improving the rigor within those core courses are just a few of the ways we can begin to see an increase in student levels of college and career readiness. We also need to create an integrated, longitudinal, data-driven system to inform and encourage coherence in school, district, and state efforts to prepare all high school graduates for college and career. All students must also have systematic guidance and feedback about their progress and get that feedback early and often.


ACT research (*The Reality of College Readiness*, 2013; *Readiness Matters*, 2013) demonstrates that academically prepared students, as measured by the ACT College Readiness Benchmarks, have greater chances for success in their future educational endeavors. However, ACT research suggests that there are other readiness factors that impact student success, including the academic behaviors of students and informed career planning (e.g., based on interests). We strongly encourage educators in states, districts, and schools to monitor and set student interventions on all of these key factors of student success.

Minnesota


Attainment of College and Career Readiness

- 45,305 of your graduates, which is an estimated 76% of your graduating class, took the ACT*.
- From 2010–2014, the number of ACT test-taking graduates has increased by 2.2%, while the estimated number of graduates in your state has decreased by 5.6%.

Percent of 2014 ACT-Tested High School Graduates Meeting ACT College Readiness Benchmarks by Subject



Percent of 2010–2014 ACT-Tested High School Graduates Meeting ACT College Readiness Benchmarks**




* Totals for graduating seniors were obtained from *Knocking at the College Door: Projections of High School Graduates*, 8th edition. © December 2012 by the Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education.

Note: Percents in this report may not sum to 100% due to rounding.


**ACT College Readiness Benchmarks in reading and science were revised in 2013. See page 19 for details.

Near Attainment of College and Career Readiness

Percent of 2014 ACT-Tested High School Graduates by ACT College Readiness Benchmark Attainment and Subject



Percent of 2014 ACT-Tested High School Graduates by Number of ACT College Readiness Benchmarks Attained




Minnesota

Participation and Opportunity


Over the past decade, ACT has experienced unprecedented growth in the number of students tested, as well as statewide partnerships in 13 states and in many districts across the country. As a result, the 2014 *Condition of College & Career Readiness* report provides a much deeper and more representative sample in comparison to a purely self-selected college-going population.

Percent of 2010–2014 ACT-Tested High School Graduates by Race/Ethnicity*



Note: Values less than 0.5% will not appear.

Percent of 2010–2014 ACT-Tested High School Graduates Meeting Three or More Benchmarks by Race/Ethnicity*




* Race/ethnicity categories changed in 2011 to reflect updated US Department of Education reporting requirements.²


Participation and Opportunity by Subject

Percent of 2014 ACT-Tested High School Graduates Meeting
ACT College Readiness Benchmarks by Race/Ethnicity and Subject*


English




Mathematics



Reading



Science




* Race/ethnicity categories changed in 2011 to reflect updated US Department of Education reporting requirements.²

Minnesota

Course-Taking Patterns and Benchmark Performance


Within subjects, ACT has consistently found that students who take the recommended core curriculum are more likely to be ready for college or career than those who do not. A core curriculum is defined as four years of English and three years each of mathematics, social studies, and science.³

Percent of 2014 ACT-Tested High School Graduates in Core or More vs. Less Than Core Courses Meeting ACT College Readiness Benchmarks by Subject



A First Look at STEM

Percent of 2014 ACT-Tested High School Graduates with an Interest in STEM Meeting ACT College Readiness Benchmarks by Subject




This chart compares ACT College Readiness Benchmark attainment for 2014 high school graduates in your state who have an interest in STEM majors or occupations to STEM-interested graduates nationally. Characteristics of students with an interest in STEM will be addressed in greater depth with the upcoming *Condition of STEM 2014* report to be released November 2014.


Early Preparation

ACT research shows that younger students who take rigorous curricula are more prepared to graduate from high school ready for college or career. Moreover, our research (*The Forgotten Middle*, 2008) found that “the level of academic achievement that students attain by 8th grade has a larger impact on their college and career readiness by the time they graduate from high school than anything that happens academically in high school.”

Percent of 2013–2014 ACT Plan®–Tested 10th Graders Meeting ACT College Readiness Benchmarks (N = 57,182)



Percent of 2013–2014 ACT Explore®–Tested 8th Graders Meeting ACT College Readiness Benchmarks (N = 47,763)



Minnesota

ACT College Readiness Benchmark Attainment for Top Planned College Majors: 2014 Graduates

When students register for the ACT, they can select a college major—from a list of 294 majors—that they plan to pursue in college. Among recent ACT-tested high school graduates nationwide, about 80% selected a specific planned major, whereas about 20% indicated that they were undecided or did not select a major.

This table ranks the state's top (most frequently selected) majors among 2014 graduates. The percentages of students meeting the ACT College Readiness Benchmarks are shown for each major. Across these planned majors, there are considerable differences in the percentage of students who are ready to succeed in college.

Major Name	N	English	Reading	Math	Science	All Four
Undecided	9,912	78	58	62	53	40
Medicine (Pre-Medicine)	1,648	90	75	83	72	62
Nursing, Registered (BS/RN)	1,522	71	43	48	41	24
No Major Indicated	1,184	46	37	34	32	23
Business Administration and Management, General	1,108	73	48	60	50	34
Mechanical Engineering	927	82	59	83	70	51
Elementary Education	824	76	50	51	41	27
Biology, General	759	90	72	80	73	58
Accounting	685	75	47	71	53	35
Criminology	653	67	46	43	36	24
Psychology, Clinical and Counseling	594	83	58	52	45	30
Computer Science and Programming	591	83	65	79	71	53
Physical Therapy (Pre-Physical Therapy)	572	81	54	66	54	38
Biochemistry and Biophysics	522	88	74	82	70	60
Marketing Management and Research	509	83	58	66	53	38
Law (Pre-Law)	500	77	62	56	53	40
Athletic Training	474	71	41	50	40	23
Chemistry	450	88	72	83	74	62
Engineering (Pre-Engineering), General	445	89	72	89	78	65
Psychology, General	445	85	63	60	52	40
Pharmacy (Pre-Pharmacy)	438	81	59	76	63	45
Medical Assisting	419	48	26	30	20	11
Chemical Engineering	377	92	79	93	86	74
Biomedical Engineering	360	93	80	93	85	75
Sales, Merchandising, and Marketing, General	339	78	50	59	51	30
Small Business Management/Operations	337	68	42	47	43	24
Aerospace/Aeronautical Engineering	332	91	70	89	81	65
Civil Engineering	326	82	61	79	69	52
Veterinary Medicine (Pre-Veterinarian)	305	78	60	60	54	39
Graphic Design	300	73	52	51	43	28

Note: *Undecided* and/or *No Major Indicated* are included in the table, if applicable. The former refers to students who selected the option *Undecided* from the list of majors. The latter refers to students who did not respond to the question.

ACT College Readiness Benchmark Attainment for the Top Planned College Majors with Good Fit: 2014 Graduates

Many students gravitate toward majors that align with their preferred activities and values. ACT research has shown that greater *interest-major fit* is related to important student outcomes such as persistence in a major or college. This table shows, for each planned major, the numbers and percentages of students displaying good interest-major fit⁴, as well as the percentages of students meeting the ACT College Readiness Benchmarks. Since only students who completed the ACT Interest Inventory during ACT registration are included here, this table shows results for a subset of the students in the prior table. These planned majors vary considerably in the percentage of students displaying good interest-major fit and meeting the ACT College Readiness Benchmarks. The results highlight the importance of examining multiple predictors of college success and affirm the value of a holistic view of college readiness.


Major Name	N Fit	% Fit	English	Reading	Math	Science	All Four
Undecided			No profile available				
Medicine (Pre-Medicine)	825	50	92	76	85	75	64
Nursing, Registered (BS/RN)	549	36	74	44	48	42	24
No Major Indicated			No profile available				
Business Administration and Management, General	411	37	75	49	64	54	35
Mechanical Engineering	350	38	83	59	85	74	52
Elementary Education	242	29	81	56	54	43	28
Biology, General	416	55	91	75	79	78	62
Accounting	396	58	76	47	72	56	36
Criminology	80	12	78	61	49	38	29
Psychology, Clinical and Counseling	133	22	88	62	54	53	36
Computer Science and Programming	195	33	82	66	82	75	56
Physical Therapy (Pre-Physical Therapy)	199	35	83	61	68	59	41
Biochemistry and Biophysics	266	51	89	75	84	74	62
Marketing Management and Research	159	31	87	72	72	65	49
Law (Pre-Law)	178	36	88	75	70	67	56
Athletic Training	108	23	77	53	56	48	31
Chemistry	234	52	89	76	85	74	63
Engineering (Pre-Engineering), General	148	33	92	70	91	77	65
Psychology, General	118	27	84	69	62	58	47
Pharmacy (Pre-Pharmacy)	192	44	81	64	77	67	50
Medical Assisting	124	30	52	27	34	26	14
Chemical Engineering	175	46	93	79	95	86	73
Biomedical Engineering	170	47	93	84	92	88	79
Sales, Merchandising, and Marketing, General	65	19	74	51	60	52	35
Small Business Management/Operations	49	15	80	43	49	49	20
Aerospace/Aeronautical Engineering	143	43	93	71	92	81	64
Civil Engineering	118	36	77	55	78	65	49
Veterinary Medicine (Pre-Veterinarian)	139	46	78	61	64	55	40
Graphic Design	146	49	77	58	49	44	30

Note: *Undecided* and/or *No Major Indicated* are included in the table, if applicable. The former refers to students who selected the option *Undecided* from the list of majors. The latter refers to students who did not respond to the question.

Minnesota

Other College and Career Readiness Factors

Percent of 2014 ACT-Tested High School Graduates by Educational Aspirations




Aligning Student Behaviors, Planning, and Aspirations

Most students aspire to a post-high school credential. To help them meet those aspirations, educational planning, monitoring, and interventions must be aligned to their aspirations, begin early, and continue throughout their educational careers.

There is good news in that 91% of Minnesota's 2014 ACT-tested graduates aspired to postsecondary education. Interestingly, 92% of Minnesota's 2013 ACT-tested graduating class aspired to enroll in postsecondary education, compared to 79% who actually did enroll. If we fully closed the aspirational gap, an additional 5,744 of the 2013 ACT-tested graduates from Minnesota would have enrolled in postsecondary education.

Academic Achievement, Behaviors, and College Retention

College Retention Rates by Number of ACT Benchmarks Met and ACT Engage® College Scores*



Across all ACT College Readiness Benchmark attainment levels, students with higher ACT Engage College scores (based on the mean percentile scores of ACT Engage scales Academic Discipline, Commitment to College, and Social Connection) remain enrolled in a postsecondary institution after the first year of college at substantially higher rates than students with lower ACT Engage College scores.

* Based on N = 13,697 ACT-tested graduates of 2011 and 2012 who also took the ACT Engage College assessment and enrolled in college. Students with a mean percentile score of less than 25 were classified as low, those with scores between 25 and 75 were classified as moderate, and those with scores greater than 75 were classified as high.


Looking Back at the Class of 2013

Minnesota


ACT College Readiness Benchmarks and Fall 2013 College Enrollment

Academic achievement, as measured by ACT College Readiness Benchmark attainment, has a clear and distinctive relationship with the path taken by high school graduates. Those who were more academically ready were more likely to enroll in 4-year institutions. Graduates who enrolled in 2-year colleges or pursued other options after high school were more likely to have met fewer Benchmarks. For the sizable number of 2013 graduates who did not meet any Benchmarks, their post-high school opportunities appear to have been limited compared to their college-ready peers.

Percent of 2013 ACT-Tested High School Graduates by Number of ACT College Readiness Benchmarks Attained



Percent of 2013 ACT-Tested High School Graduates by Number of ACT College Readiness Benchmarks Attained and Fall 2013 College Enrollment Status



Minnesota

2014 State Percent of High School Graduates Tested, Average Composite Score, and Percent Meeting Benchmarks by Subject

State	Percent of Graduates Tested*	Average Composite Score	Percent Meeting English Benchmark	Percent Meeting Reading Benchmark	Percent Meeting Math Benchmark	Percent Meeting Science Benchmark
Colorado	100	20.6	63	43	39	36
Illinois	100	20.7	62	41	41	35
Kentucky	100	19.9	59	37	31	29
Louisiana	100	19.2	56	32	27	24
Michigan	100	20.1	59	36	35	33
Mississippi	100	19.0	53	31	21	21
Montana	100	20.5	60	44	39	33
North Carolina	100	18.9	47	30	33	23
North Dakota	100	20.6	62	42	41	34
Tennessee	100	19.8	59	37	30	28
Utah	100	20.8	63	43	39	36
Wyoming	100	20.1	59	40	34	31
Arkansas	93	20.4	63	41	35	32
Hawaii	90	18.2	42	26	27	20
Nebraska	86	21.7	72	48	45	42
Florida	81	19.6	53	38	33	27
Alabama	80	20.6	65	43	31	31
South Dakota	78	21.9	72	51	52	46
Minnesota	76	22.9	77	56	61	53
Missouri	76	21.8	72	51	45	42
Kansas	75	22.0	72	51	50	44
Oklahoma	75	20.7	66	45	35	35
Wisconsin	73	22.2	75	51	54	49
Ohio	72	22.0	72	52	50	45
New Mexico	69	19.9	55	37	33	29
Iowa	68	22.0	75	52	48	47
West Virginia	65	20.6	68	45	31	32
South Carolina	58	20.4	61	41	39	33
Arizona	55	19.7	54	37	37	29
Georgia	53	20.8	64	44	38	34
Idaho	45	22.4	75	55	53	45
Indiana	40	21.9	70	51	52	42
Texas	40	20.9	60	42	47	36

2014 State Percent of High School Graduates Tested, Average Composite Score, and Percent Meeting Benchmarks by Subject

State	Percent of Graduates Tested*	Average Composite Score	Percent Meeting English Benchmark	Percent Meeting Reading Benchmark	Percent Meeting Math Benchmark	Percent Meeting Science Benchmark
Alaska	37	21.0	63	48	45	36
District of Columbia	37	21.6	61	47	47	41
Nevada	36	21.2	65	47	46	37
Oregon	36	21.4	67	49	47	40
California	29	22.3	71	51	57	43
Connecticut	29	24.2	86	65	69	59
Vermont	29	23.2	78	58	60	52
Virginia	28	22.8	76	58	57	49
New York	27	23.4	79	59	67	55
New Jersey	25	23.1	77	57	64	50
Massachusetts	23	24.3	85	65	72	58
Maryland	22	22.6	73	54	55	47
Washington	22	23.0	74	58	62	52
New Hampshire	20	24.2	86	66	69	59
Pennsylvania	19	22.7	75	55	59	49
Delaware	18	23.2	77	61	60	52
Rhode Island	16	22.9	77	60	59	48
Maine	9	23.6	84	61	65	53
National	57	21.0	64	44	43	37

* Totals for graduating seniors were obtained from *Knocking at the College Door: Projections of High School Graduates*, 8th edition. © December 2012 by the Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education.

Policies and Practices

How to Increase Readiness

Approximately 26% of all 2014 ACT-tested high school graduates met all four of the ACT College Readiness Benchmarks indicating academic readiness for credit-bearing first-year college courses in English Composition, College Algebra, Biology, and the social sciences. At the same time, 16% of all 2014 ACT-tested high school graduates met only one Benchmark, and 31% met none. Based on decades of ACT research, the following recommendations include steps that states, districts, schools, and classrooms can take to increase student readiness for college-level work.

State Policy Recommendations

Advance college and career readiness through a renewed focus on teaching and learning. With the majority of states and the District of Columbia having adopted more rigorous college and career readiness standards—and assessments to measure student progress toward those standards—it is more important than ever for state and local systems to align other educational elements to these standards. These elements include curriculum alignment to standards; experiential learning opportunities; and teacher professional development, especially as it relates to integrating the standards into current teaching practices and increasing assessment literacy. Research shows that systemic alignment of key policies and school activities empowers educators to support students in making notable gains in student achievement.

Set clear performance standards to evaluate college and career readiness. States must define performance standards so that everyone knows “how good is good enough” for students to have a reasonable chance of success at college or on the job. ACT defines college readiness in English, reading, math, and science using decades of student performance data. For each area, students who are considered college ready have a 50% chance of earning a B or higher or about a 75% chance of earning a C or higher in the corresponding first-year English Composition, introductory social science, College Algebra, or Biology course. Longitudinal, real-world data and research on what constitutes student success are now available to every state and district, as are standards and benchmarks against which the performance of students and schools can be measured and state progress noted.

Implement a high-quality student assessment system.

As states adopt and implement new high-quality assessment systems, they should ensure that those systems measure and provide timely and actionable information about student performance aligned to college and career readiness.

High-quality assessments must:

- Monitor growth over a student's educational experience, starting in elementary school and through high school, so

that educators can make timely instructional decisions and interventions based on reliable information.

- Be aligned, linked, and longitudinal in nature to be an effective tool for students, teachers, administrators, and parents in monitoring student progress.
- Be mindful of and incorporate the unique accessibility needs of English language learners and students with disabilities, and the tests must be constructed in deep consultation with experts on these populations.
- Vary according to the type of standards that need to be measured. These multiple measures can be used to offer more comprehensive evaluations of student achievement, from multiple-choice and constructed-response assessments to performance tasks and project-based learning.
- Be offered through multiple platforms. While computer-based testing is highly applicable to formative assessments that can be conducted on an on-demand basis, paper-and-pencil testing may be a reality for states and districts with less technological capacity. Until computer and broadband access for such large groups of students are sufficiently widespread in schools, both platforms must be available.
- Offer multiple stakeholders—especially teachers—ongoing, real-time, interactive reporting and access to assessment results and other related data.

These principles are consistent with the goals of other principles for high-quality college and career readiness assessments set forth by experts in the field.⁵

Support programs targeted at developing behaviors that aid students' academic success.

Monitoring students' academic performance is critical, but certain academically related behaviors also contribute to student persistence and success. If students are to be successful in meeting a core set of academic standards, they need to be sufficiently motivated to persist at their work. The behavioral habits that contribute most directly to student postsecondary success include motivation, social engagement, and self-regulation.⁶ Measuring these and other academically related factors is possible, and doing so can assess risk at important points in students' academic trajectories and identify areas of need and support.⁷ Cultivating behavioral habits that contribute to postsecondary and workforce achievement can have a noticeable impact on students' achievement and persistence levels.

Provide all students with access to a rigorous high school core curriculum.

While in recent years, most states have increased course requirements for high school graduation, too often those requirements have not specified the particular courses that prepare students for postsecondary success. In the absence of such specific and

Policies and Practices

rigorous high school graduation requirements, too many students are not taking either the right number or the right kinds of courses they need to be ready for college and career. All states, therefore, should specify the number and kinds of courses that students need to take to graduate academically ready for life after high school. At minimum, ACT recommends the following:

- Four years of English
- Three years of mathematics, including rigorous courses in Algebra I, Geometry, and Algebra II
- Three years of science, including rigorous courses in Biology, Chemistry, and Physics
- Three years of social studies

Invest in early childhood education programs so that more children are ready to learn. Improving college and career readiness for all students begins as early as kindergarten—where gaps between low-income students and their more advantaged peers already exist.⁸ Large numbers of underserved students enter kindergarten behind academically in early reading and mathematics skills, oral language development, vocabulary, and general knowledge. Gaps also exist in the development of academic and social behaviors such as listening, following instructions, and resolving conflicts. States should not only continue to invest in, but also expand access to, high-quality, research-based early learning opportunities for *all* students from prekindergarten to third grade to address learning gaps well before eighth grade, by which time these gaps become much more difficult to reverse.

Continue to implement monitoring and early warning systems that help educators identify and intervene with at-risk students. An effective monitoring system should provide an evolving picture of students over time and identify their unique learning needs at various points along their educational careers. Adoption of such systems in states where they do not yet exist—as well as expansion of system capabilities in states where they currently exist—will support earlier and more effective interventions by providing teachers with information to implement the necessary interventions to maximize student potential. Teachers, who have been consistently identified as the most important school-based factor in student achievement, should be equipped with as much relevant data as possible to inform and supplement their efforts.⁹ The data should help to identify students in need of intervention and model student growth toward college and career readiness.

Continue development of thoughtful and fair teacher evaluation systems that include multiple measures of performance—including student growth data. To help ensure that teachers and administrators have access to relevant feedback about their effectiveness at preparing all students for college and career, it is critical to offer continued support for developing and implementing robust teacher evaluation systems that include multiple measures of performance. Such development and implementation must proceed thoughtfully and be accompanied by education and communication about the appropriate use of student growth data in these systems.

Increase support for the development of STEM-related courses to meet the coming demand for a larger STEM workforce. Education in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) is vital to the ability of the United States to maintain its position of global leadership and economic competitiveness. With more than 8.6 million STEM-related jobs anticipated by the year 2018, preparing and encouraging students to pursue STEM majors and careers becomes even more important. To identify new programs that will better attract students to and retain them in STEM-related careers, states should seek opportunities to collaborate with multiple entities, including business; national workforce and job readiness groups; local chambers of commerce; and universities, community colleges, and technical schools.

Implement policies for data-driven decision making. Teachers must have access to high-quality, actionable data that can be used to improve instruction. Without such data, opinion can overly influence key instructional decisions. To address this challenge, states have been hard at work developing longitudinal P–16 data systems. This work should continue, but more must be done. To ensure that students are prepared for the 21st century, states must have systems that allow schools and districts to closely monitor student performance at every stage of the learning pipeline, from preschool through college. Policies governing teacher and administrator preparation and professional development must include an emphasis on developing skills to use data appropriately to improve the practices of teaching and learning for all students in the pipeline.


Resources

Statewide Partnerships in College and Career Readiness

States that incorporate ACT's college and career readiness solutions as part of their statewide assessments provide greater access to higher education and increase the likelihood of student success in postsecondary education. Educators also have the ability to establish a longitudinal plan using ACT's assessments, which provide high schools, districts, and states with unique student-level data that can be used for effective student intervention plans.

State administration of ACT's programs and services:

- Increases opportunities for minority and middle- to low-income students.
- Promotes student educational and career planning.
- Reduces the need for remediation.
- Correlates with increases in college enrollment, persistence, and student success.
- Aligns with state standards.



ACT[®] Aspire[™]	ACT[®] Explore[™]	ACT[®] Plan[™]	The ACT[®]	ACT[®] QualityCore[™]	ACT[®] WorkKeys[™]	ACT National Career Readiness Certificate[™]
3rd- through 8th-grade students	8th- and 9th-grade students	10th-grade students	11th- and 12th-grade students	8th- through 12th-grade students	11th- and 12th-grade students	
Alabama	Alabama	Alabama	Alabama	Alabama	Alaska	Alabama
	Arkansas	Arkansas	Arkansas*	Kentucky	Illinois	Alaska
	Hawaii	Florida	Colorado		Hawaii	Arkansas
	Illinois	Hawaii	Hawaii		Michigan	Colorado
	Kentucky	Illinois	Illinois		North Carolina	Connecticut
	Louisiana	Kentucky	Kentucky		North Carolina	Florida
	Michigan	Louisiana	Louisiana		North Dakota	Georgia
	Minnesota	Michigan	Michigan		Wyoming	Indiana
	North Carolina	Minnesota	Minnesota*			Iowa
	Oklahoma	New Mexico	Mississippi*			Kansas
	South Carolina	North Carolina	Missouri*			Kentucky
	Tennessee	Oklahoma	Montana			Louisiana
	Utah	Tennessee	Nevada*			Michigan
	West Virginia	Utah	North Carolina			Minnesota
	Wyoming	West Virginia	North Dakota			Mississippi
		Wyoming	Tennessee			Missouri
			Utah			Montana
			Wisconsin*			New Hampshire
			Wyoming			

* Indicates a state offering statewide testing in the 2014–15 academic year.

ACT Research

The continued increase of test takers enhances the breadth and depth of the data pool, providing a comprehensive picture of the current college readiness levels of the graduating class as well as offering a glimpse of the emerging national educational pipeline. It also allows us to review various aspects of the ACT-tested graduating class, including the following reports:

Releasing in the 2014–2015 Academic Year

- *The Condition of STEM 2014*
- *The Condition of College & Career Readiness—African American Students*
- *The Condition of College & Career Readiness—American Indian Students*
- *The Condition of College & Career Readiness—Asian Students*
- *The Condition of College & Career Readiness—Hispanic Students*

- *The Condition of College & Career Readiness—Pacific Islander Students*
- *The Condition of College & Career Readiness—First-Generation Students*
- *The Condition of College & Career Readiness—Students from Low-Income Families*

Other ACT Research Reports

College Choice Report (for the graduating class of 2012)

- *Part 1: Preferences and Prospects*—November 2012
- *Part 2: Enrollment Patterns*—July 2013
- *Part 3: Persistence and Transfer*—April 2014

College Choice Report (for the graduating class of 2013)

- *Part 1: Preferences and Prospects*—November 2013
- *Part 2: Enrollment Patterns*—July 2014
- *Part 3: Persistence and Transfer*—April 2015

To be notified of exact release dates, please subscribe here:

www.act.org/research/subscribe.html.

How Does ACT Determine if Students Are College Ready?

The ACT College Readiness Benchmarks are scores on the ACT subject area tests that represent the level of achievement required for students to have a 50% chance of obtaining a B or higher or about a 75% chance of obtaining a C or higher in corresponding credit-bearing first-year college courses. Based on a nationally stratified sample, the Benchmarks are median course placement values for these institutions and represent a typical set of expectations. ACT College Readiness Benchmarks were revised for 2013 graduating class reporting. The ACT College Readiness Benchmarks are:

College Course	Subject Area Test	Original ACT College Readiness Benchmark	Revised ACT College Readiness Benchmark
English Composition	English	18	18
Social Sciences	Reading	21	22
College Algebra	Mathematics	22	22
Biology	Science	24	23

Notes

1. The data presented herein are based on the *ACT Profile Report—State: Graduating Class 2014* for each respective state, accessible at www.act.org/readiness/2014. With the exception of the top graph on page 6, data related to students who did not provide information or who responded "Other" to questions about gender, race/ethnicity, high school curriculum, etc., are not presented explicitly.
2. The race/ethnicity categories changed in 2011 to reflect updated US Department of Education reporting requirements; trends to previous reports may not be available for all race/ethnicity categories.
3. Data reflect subject-specific curriculum. For example, English "Core or More" results pertain to students who took at least four years of English, regardless of courses taken in other subject areas.
4. The interest-major fit score measures the strength of the relationship between the student's profile of ACT Interest Inventory scores and the profile of students' interests in the major shown. Interest profiles for majors are based on a national sample of undergraduate students with a declared major and a GPA of at least 2.0. Major was determined in the third year for students in 4-year colleges and in the second year for students in 2-year colleges. Interest-major fit scores range from 0–99, with values of 80 and higher indicating good fit.
5. See, for example, Council of Chief State School Officers, *Transition to High-Quality, College- and Career-Ready Assessments: Principles to Guide State Leadership and Federal Requirements* (Washington, DC: Council of Chief State School Officers, May 23, 2013), http://www.ccsso.org/Documents/2013/CCSSO_State_Principles_on_Assessment_Transition_5-23-13.pdf; and Linda Darling-Hammond et al., *Criteria for High-Quality Assessment* (Stanford, CA: Stanford Center for Opportunity Policy in Education, June 2013), https://edpolicy.stanford.edu/sites/default/files/publications/criteria-higher-quality-assessment_2.pdf.
6. ACT, *Enhancing College and Career Readiness and Success: The Role of Academic Behaviors* (Iowa City, IA: ACT), http://www.act.org/engage/pdf/ENGAGE_Issue_Brief.pdf.
7. ACT, *Importance of Student Self-Regulation* (Iowa City, IA: ACT, January 2013), <http://www.act.org/research/researchers/briefs/pdf/2013-3.pdf>.
8. Chrys Dougherty, *College and Career Readiness: The Importance of Early Learning Success* (Iowa City, IA: ACT, February 2013), <http://www.act.org/research/policymakers/pdf/ImportanceofEarlyLearning.pdf>.
9. Daniel F. McCaffrey, J.R. Lockwood, Daniel M. Koretz, and Laura S. Hamilton, *Evaluating Value-Added Models for Teacher Accountability* (Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation, 2003), http://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/monographs/2004/RAND_MG158.pdf.

ACT is an independent, nonprofit organization that provides assessment, research, information, and program management services in the broad areas of education and workforce development. Each year, we serve millions of people in high schools, colleges, professional associations, businesses, and government agencies, nationally and internationally. Though designed to meet a wide array of needs, all ACT programs and services have one guiding purpose—helping people achieve education and workplace success.

A copy of this report can be found at
www.act.org/readiness/2014

